

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,880	06/27/2005	Kenji Inagaki	Q88345	1798
23373 SUGUDITE M	7590 07/16/2007	•	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	W.	SALVATORE, LYNDA		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, wrisimioro			. 1771	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Office Action Summers	10/540,880	INAGAKI, KENJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lynda M. Salvatore	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/07</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's remarks filed 4/12/07 have been fully considered and entered. Applicant's remarks are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-11, 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pike et al., US 6,090,731 in view of Yamamoto, EP 1 110 988 A1 as set forth in the Office Action dated 1/12/07.

Applicant argues that the catalyst of Yamamoto is a mixture of titanium and a phosphorous compound rather then the claimed mixture of titanium and phosphorous of a dialkyl ester of carboalkoxy phosphonic acid or a mono or di-alkyl or aryl phosphate. Applicant submits that these phosphorous compounds are clearly different from the alkyl or aryl phosphonic acid of Yamamoto. This argument is not found persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that phosphorous compounds of Yamamoto are clearly different from the claimed phosphorous compounds, the Examiner fails to see a patently distinct difference between the prior art catalyst and the claimed catalyst. Additionally, it is also the position of the Examiner that even if a distinct difference between the prior art catalyst and the claimed catalyst exists, absent unexpected results, it appears that the prior art catalyst is functionally equivalent to the claimed catalyst for the intended use. Support for said presumption

Art Unit: 1771

presumption is found in the teachings of Yamamoto directed to forming polyester having good color tone, a property with which Applicant desires.

3. Claims 12-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pike et al., US 6,090,731 in view of Yamamoto, EP 1 110 988 A1 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Colman et al., US 6,384,297 as set forth in the Office Action dated 1/12/07.

The above rejection of claim 1 is maintained as set forth above and Applicant has not provided any new arguments for which to consider.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M. Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 9, 2007

Primary Graminer
Primary Graminer
Alt Unit 1771